

## PROPOSAL 8-10R (2009)

---

### SCOPE: Sec. 15.7.6.1 and Commentary to Chapter 22

---

#### PROPOSAL FOR CHANGE:

#### 1 **Revise the following Sec. 15.7.6.1 to Part 1 of the 2008 Provisions:**

2 For  $T_c > T_L$  :

$$3 \quad S_{ac} = \frac{1.5S_{D1} T_L}{T_c^2} \quad (15.7-11)$$

4 EXCEPTION: For  $T_c > 4$  sec,  $S_{ac}$  may be determined by a site-specific study using one or  
5 more of the following methods: (i) the procedures found in Chapter 21, provided such  
6 procedures, which rely on ground-motion attenuation equations for computing response  
7 spectra, cover the natural period band containing  $T_c$ , (ii) ground-motion simulation  
8 methods employing seismological models of fault rupture and wave propagation, and  
9 (iii) analysis of representative strong-motion accelerogram data with reliable long-period  
10 content extending to periods greater than  $T_c$ . However, in no case shall the value of  $S_{ac}$   
11 be taken as less than the minimum of:

- 12 a. the value determined in accordance with Eq. 15.7-11 using 50% of the mapped value  
13 of  $T_L$  from Chapter 22, or
- 14 b. 0.8 times the value determined in accordance with Equation 15.7-11 using the  
15 mapped value of  $T_L$  from Chapter 22.

16 In determining the value of  $S_{ac}$ , the value of  $T_L$  shall not be less than 4 seconds.

#### 17 **Add the following to the Commentary for Chapter 22 (prepared by C.B.** 18 **Crouse)**

##### 20 Chapter 22

##### 21 SEISMIC GROUND MOTION AND LONG-PERIOD TRANSITION MAPS

##### 23 SEISMIC GROUND MOTION MAPS

24 The 2005 edition of ASCE 7 continues to use contour maps of spectral response acceleration (Figures 22-  
25 1 through 22-14). The spectral acceleration design maps were prepared by the United States Geological  
26 Survey (USGS) based on USGS probabilistic maps of the 48 conterminous states (2002), Alaska (1998),  
27 Hawaii (1998), and Puerto Rico/Virgin Islands (2003) with modifications based on the 1997  
28 recommendations of the Building Seismic Safety Council. The maps of the 48 states and PRVI have been  
29 updated from the 2002 edition of this standard. The maps of Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, and Tutilla are  
30 unchanged from the 2002 edition.

31 The USGS has also developed a companion software program that calculates location-specific spectral  
32 Proposal 8-10R (2009) PUC Ballot Copy (December, 2007)

1 values based on latitude and longitude or zip code; use of the latter method is discouraged in regions  
2 where ground-motion values vary substantially over short distance. The calculated values are based on  
3 the data used to prepare the maps shown as Figures 22-1 through 22-14. The spectral values may be  
4 adjusted for Site Class effects using the Site Classification Procedure in Section 20 and the site  
5 coefficients in Section 11.4. Latitude and longitude for a given address can be found at a variety of Web  
6 sites. The software program may be accessed at the USGS Web site  
7 (<http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/hazmaps/>) by clicking on “Seismic Design Values for Buildings.”  
8 This site can also be used to obtain values from the 2002 edition of this standard. The software program  
9 should be used to establish spectral values for design because the maps found in ASCE 7 and at Web sites  
10 are at too large a scale to provide accurate spectral values for many sites.

## 11 **LONG-PERIOD TRANSITION MAPS**

12 The maps of the Long-Period Transition Period,  $T_L$ , are new in this edition (Figures 22-15 through 22-20).  
13 They were prepared by the USGS based on the 2003 recommendations of the BSSC. See Section C11.4.5  
14 for a discussion of the technical basis of these maps. The value of  $T_L$  obtained from these maps is used in  
15 equation 11.4-7 to determine values of  $S_a$  for periods greater than  $T_L$ .

16 The Exception in Sect. 15.7.6.1, regarding the calculation of  $S_{ac}$ , the convective response spectral  
17 acceleration for tank response, is intended to provide the user the option of computing this acceleration  
18 with three different types of site-specific procedures: (1) those procedures in Chapter 21, provided they  
19 cover the natural period band containing  $T_c$ , the fundamental convective period of the tank-fluid system,  
20 (2) ground-motion simulation methods using seismological models, and (3) analysis of representative  
21 accelerogram data. Elaboration of these procedures is provided below.

22 With regard to the first procedure, attenuation equations have been developed for the western U.S. (Next  
23 Generation Attenuation [ref. here]) and for the central and eastern U.S. (e.g., Somerville et al., 2001) that  
24 cover the period band, 0 to 10 sec. Thus, for  $T_c \leq 10$  sec, the fundamental convective period range for  
25 nearly all storage tanks, these attenuation equations can be used in the same PSHA/DSHA procedures  
26 described in Chapter 21 to compute  $S_a(T_c)$ . The 1.5 factor in Eqn. (15.7-11), which converts a 5%  
27 damped spectral acceleration to a 0.5% damped value, could then be applied to obtain  $S_{ac}$ . Alternatively,  
28 this factor could be established by statistical analysis of 0.5% damped and 5% damped response spectra  
29 of accelerograms representative of the ground motion expected at the site.

30 In some regions of the U.S., such as Pacific Northwest and southern Alaska, where subduction-zone  
31 earthquakes dominate the ground-motion hazard, attenuation equations for these events only extend to  
32 periods between 3 and 5 sec, depending on the equation. Thus, for tanks with  $T_c$  greater than these  
33 periods, other site-specific methods are required.

34 The second site-specific method to obtain  $S_a$  at long periods is simulation through the use of  
35 seismological models of fault rupture and wave propagation. These models could range from simple  
36 seismic source-theory and wave-propagation models, which currently form the basis for many of the  
37 attenuation equations used in the central and eastern U.S. for example, to more complex numerical  
38 models that incorporate finite fault rupture for scenario earthquakes and seismic wave propagation  
39 through 2-D or 3-D models of the regional geology, which may include basins. These models are  
40 particularly attractive for computing long-period ground motions from great earthquakes ( $M_w \geq \sim 8$ )  
41 because ground-motion data are limited for these events. Furthermore, the models are more accurate for  
42 predicting longer-period ground motions because (1) seismographic recordings may be used to calibrate  
43 these models, and (2) the general nature of the 2-D or 3-D regional geology is typically fairly well  
44 resolved at these periods, and can be much simpler than would be required for accurate prediction of  
45 shorter period motions.

1 A third site-specific method is the analysis of the response spectra of representative accelerograms that  
2 have accurately recorded long period motions to periods greater than  $T_c$ . As  $T_c$  increases, the number of  
3 qualified records decreases. However, as digital accelerographs continue to replace analog  
4 accelerographs, more recordings with accurate long period motions will become available. Nevertheless,  
5 a number of analog and digital recordings of large and great earthquakes are available that have accurate  
6 long period motions to 8 sec and beyond. Subsets of these records, representative of the earthquake(s)  
7 controlling the ground-motion hazard at a site, can be selected. The 0.5% damped response spectra of the  
8 records can be scaled using seismic source theory to adjust them to the magnitude and distance of the  
9 controlling earthquake. The levels of the scaled response spectra at periods around  $T_c$  can be used to  
10 determine  $S_{ac}$ . If the subset of representative records is limited, then this method should be used in  
11 conjunction with the aforementioned simulation methods.

## 12 **REFERENCES**

13 Graves, R.W., and Pitarka, A., 2004, Broadband time history simulation using a hybrid approach, Proc.  
14 13th World Conf. Earthq. Eng., Vancouver, Canada, paper no. 1098.

15 Hartzell, S., and Heaton, T., 1983, "Inversion of strong ground motion and teleseismic waveform data for  
16 the fault rupture history of the 1979 Imperial Valley, California earthquake." Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.,  
17 v. 73, p. 1553-1583.

18 Hartzell, S., Harmsen, S., Frankel, A., and Larsen, S., 1999, "Calculation of broadband time histories of  
19 ground motion: comparison of methods and validation using strong ground motion from the 1994  
20 Northridge earthquake." Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., v. 89, p. 1484-1504.

21 Liu, P., Archuleta, R.J., and Hartzell, S.H., 2006, Prediction of Broadband Ground-Motion Time  
22 Histories: Hybrid Low/High-Frequency Method with Correlated Random Source Parameters, Bull. Seism.  
23 Soc. Am., v. 96, p. 2118-2130.

24 Power, M., Chiou, B., Abrahamson, N., Bozorgnia, Y., Shantz, T., and Roblee, C., 2008, An Overview of  
25 the NGA Project, Earthquake Spectra Special Issue on the Next Generation of Ground Motion  
26 Attenuation (NGA) Project, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, March.

27 Power, M., Chiou, B., Abrahamson, N., and Roblee, C., 2006, The Next Generation of Ground Motion  
28 Attenuation Models, Proceedings of the 100th Anniversary Earthquake Conference Commemorating the  
29 1906 San Francisco Earthquake, San Francisco, CA, April.

30 Zeng, Y., Anderson, J.G., and Yu, G., 1994, "A composite source model for computing synthetic strong  
31 ground motions." Geophys. Res. Lett. v. 21, p. 725-728.  
32

## 33 **REASON FOR PROPOSAL:**

34 Actual site specific studies carried out since the introduction of the  $T_L$  requirements of  
35 ASCE 7-05 indicate that the mapped values of  $T_L$  are extremely conservative. Because a  
36 revision of the  $T_L$  maps is a time consuming task that will not be possible in this revision cycle,  
37 it is proposed that site specific values may be used that are less than the mapped values with a  
38 floor of 4 seconds or one-half the mapped value of  $T_L$ . The exception is added under 15.7.6  
39 because  $T_L$  is a tank issue.

1 **TS 8 VOTE:**

2            *YES-10*            *Yes with Reservations-0*            *No-0*            *Not Voting-3*